

To make it rain, we need to observe the water cycle. it starts in the ocean, then goes up to the clouds, then it comes down to the earth, then out to sea and so forth.

So, where do we interfere? i would suggest we start with the ocean, and manipulate the water inwards. we could do this by observing when the tide comes in, and, it always will, maybe we could use the momentum of the waves to catapult it in cloud form over the coast? maybe we could try to dissolve it quickly by using salt? the salt could absorb the water, then we could drain the water out of the salt and then get the water?

Of course, this is rather slow and requires a lot of effort. if we were to acquire water in winter, when it doesn't rain, we could collect the fogs and mists, as, they are made up of liquid water. we could do this by shining lights onto the wetness and seeing it fall to the earth, as, it is cold and it is in the air, so, logically, it would fall somehow. flood lights are cheap to operate and maintain.

Or, we could try to observe that it does not rain in winter, again, and then try to get wind generators on the beach, facing land wards, to blow it in slightly. clouds will move for a long time with a little bit of a push, yes?

In Syria there is still a civil war going on. i reckon it started because Assad was afraid of rebellion, or, the soldiers over reacted to some minor things that really scared them. whatever happened, nobody accepted what had happened.

There are many ways out of this war. the first would be an act of submission by the rebels - drop their weapons and smuggle themselves home or into the 'friendly' zones. here they can use what is left of their bank accounts to start small businesses and live again, find god, and hope to be spared. i doubt the authorities there would launch weapons into their spinal support,

yes?

Or, the regime could negotiate with the rebels. they could say, come home, and the rebels could drop their weapons - what are they fighting for anyways? vengeance? what does vengeance give you? aren't they tired of sleeping in the fields? aren't they hungry?

Another factor is the economics. the country is poorer what with buying weapons and not producing as much.

 Originally Posted by [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economy\\_of\\_Syria](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economy_of_Syria)

*Since the out break of the [Syrian civil war](#), the Syrian economy has been hit by massive [economic sanctions](#) restricting trade with the [Arab League](#),<sup>[7]</sup>[Australia](#),<sup>[8]</sup>[Canada](#),<sup>[9]</sup> the [European Union](#),<sup>[10]</sup> (as well as the European countries of [Albania](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Iceland](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Liechtenstein](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Macedonia](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Moldova](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Monte\\$#@!](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Norway](#),<sup>[12]</sup>[Serbia](#),<sup>[11]</sup> and [Switzerland](#))<sup>[13]</sup>[Georgia](#),<sup>[11]</sup>[Japan](#),<sup>[14]</sup>[Turkey](#),<sup>[15]</sup> and the [United States](#).<sup>[16]</sup> These sanctions and the instability associated with the civil war have reversed previous growth in the Syrian economy to a state of decline for the years 2011 and 2012.<sup>[17]</sup> According to the UN, total economic damages of the Syrian civil war are estimated at \$143 billion as of late 2013.<sup>[18]</sup>*

So, the war is a waste of money, a waste of lives, a waste of buildings, and a waste of time. this war will end, maybe as soon as there is an election. that brings another problem - namely the disruption of elections by rebels and the statesmen that have to gain from this.

Maybe if the state was to simply withdraw state forces, the rebels would move in to the city? then, the rebels would try to take over state institutions, and eventually kill the people that made the war? this can be avoided by using e.m.p. weapons on the whole region by the rest of the world, yes? maybe the world will stop the war, maybe they will take sides with either side and neutralize the other side? it is to everyone's advantage to stop fighting now, and get back to living. i am sure the fighters are

tired of fighting anyways.

Alternatively, the rebels have found new homes. the state could extend an olive branch and have them stay there? they could send them tents for now, and build them houses that they can buy with their money from their previous undertakings, and then businesses will spring up? then, there will eventually be an election, and, they can vent their differences again in a 'humane' manner, yes?

Isis wants Islamic rule for themselves. this war is costing so much they would be better served by buying land in the near east, somewhere near the rivers hopefully, and allowing sharia rule here. there is sharia law in Sudan, why doesn't isis go live there, along with Boko harem? there they will get what they want, and, be able to have a media platform to launch their campaign to have their way of life available to others?

I know Sudan is a huge country with few people. there is a lot of arable land here, so setting up a nice little farm for them and their families, and, maybe even with foreign investment to building new cities for them, they could live in the country or in the city? this would save lives, it would ease tensions, and it would provide for others.

So, Sudan is a good option? or, they could keep losing lives and destroying buildings people need to work in, like factories and commercial buildings that generate money to buy food, which they need to live.

Everyone wants peace. the ways of the 'rebels' is that they see others as god's rebels. if the rest of the world would allow for more mosques, then they would be happier, yes? there is no way to get to europe quickly, so, as a concession, europe could build more mosques or convert more old buildings to become them, yes? this is one concession.

Another concession would be to have the world's leaders that want peace to listen to holy scripture every Friday evening and show why they do not understand? if they were to keep the leaders alive, and debate them, they might win them over, or, they might be won over. i am sure there is no victory in killing someone to be sent to hell, but there is victory in sending someone to heaven and having them spread the word, yes? this means, of course, dialogue is needed, not fighting.

No, this is the way the war could go...

If Isis and boko harem were to continue killing and looting, they could all die. many of them die each day, and, if they keep taking things off the table of this world, they will be dealt with. how? well, if they were to be blocked from taking hajj then they would not enter heaven, would they? if the state were to use satellite thermal imaging, there will be nowhere to hide. if an e.m.p. were dropped over the villages they hide in, their weapons will be useless. how will they fight then? i will now quote a scripture that concludes that it is not Allah's will to see potential worshipers killed;

 Originally Posted by **Koran 6:16**

*Whoever He spares from it, then He had treated him with mercy; and that is the obvious success.*

Now, if God spares people, and it is a victory, then it is wise to celebrate a victory as an act of mercy? what can a journalist do, but report on their time with the fighters? if they were to invite journalists within, and have them write good stories about Islam, and, their views of Sharia law, then they would be winning people over instead of making them submit, which would be like mercy, and, also like a victory as proclaimed by the prophets, yes?

But, i am feeling crazy tonight, and will quote an even more mystified scripture;

💬 Originally Posted by **Koran 30:32 (12)**

*[Prophet], if only you could see the wrongdoers hang their heads before their Lord: 'Our Lord, now that we have seen and heard, send us back and we shall do good. [Now] we are convinced.'*

*13 'If it had been Our will, We could certainly have given every soul*

*its true guidance, but My words have come true. "I shall be sure to fill Hell with jinn and men together." 14 So since you ignored the meeting on this Day of yours, now We shall ignore you: taste the lasting suffering for all you have done.'*

So, as you can see, there is a lot to think about for the fighters. what is wrong?

The fourth dimension has always been a gateway to hell in my views, so, why not the second dimension or the fifth? i guess it works on planets, the first dimension being a gateway to somewhere nice, as well as the second, and the third and fourth being more for demon folk.

I have heard and experienced a demonic frenzy before when i looked into the fourth dimension. it was a glyph of the symbol of the perceived face of baal as a symbol, being the fourth planet, and therefore, theoretically, the fourth dimension. this was on each side of a glass cup, that had the parameters met regarding dimensions and stuff that made the space between the 'symbols' line up, so, the eyes were exactly opposing each other. that is the fourth dimension, a space between the third dimension. or, it is the second dimension becoming the third dimension, of course.

If there is space between space, this can be symbolized by clever architecture. we could build building of pure ecstasy, well, the masons could make churches really make you feel heaven, yes? maybe we could copy, and i have heard this is possible, a eye for the cross, meeting the eye of another cross, or, put them in a triangle so that there are three entrances to the church,

symbolizing the trinity? these could be angled so that the 'holes' int the cross, or, points of the cross - the ank then maybe? - could meet up through the photons carrying electric charge through the building, yes?

Of course, why stop there? was it actually a two dimensional meeting the three dimensional meeting the fourth dimensional? possibly. could this be replicated with other symbols? i say, go for it!